UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,553	09/30/2003	Erik J. van der Burg	3791	5764
	7590 03/03/201 YSVER P.L.L.C.		EXAMINER	
2900 THOMAS	S AVENUE SOUTH		BLATT, ERIC D	
SUITE 100 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55416			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/674,553	VAN DER BURG ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric Blatt	3734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. Treply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communivEANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ▼ The 3 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma	• •	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	е
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \]	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10-8-2010 has been entered.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/674,553

Page 3

Art Unit: 3734

Claims 1-5 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4 and 7, of **U.S. Patent No. 6,152,144**. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. Claim 4 of the '144 patent recites providing a delivery catheter and a device for occluding a body cavity wherein the device comprises an occluding member and a retention member wherein the retention member is an self-expandable member configured to conform to an inside surface of the left atrial appendage. The delivery catheter and the device for occluding a body cavity are substantially structurally equivalent to the deployment catheter and the implantable device recited in the present application. The '144 patent recites positioning at least a portion of the occluding device into the left atrial appendage and enlarging the device therein to seal against the inside surface of the left atrial appendage. The claimed methods are thus substantially equivalent with the exception that the implantable device comprises an impervious barrier (pending claim 1), that the impervious barrier is a mesh impervious barrier (pending claim 4), and that the device is released from the deployment catheter after the device is enlarged within the left atrial appendage (pending claim 5). The '144 patent recites that the device is intended to seal and occlude the atrial appendage. Therefore, it would have been obvious to have the occluding member of the device comprise an impervious barrier in order to accomplish the desired seal. Further, it would have been obvious to have the impervious barrier comprise a mesh since mesh barriers were well known and their use would not have produced unexpected results. Regarding releasing the device from the deployment catheter, the '144 patent recites

Art Unit: 3734

deploying the device. This recitation implies that the device is released from the deployment catheter. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to release the device in order to leave the device in the desired occlusive position.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-5 are allowable if all double patenting issues are overcome. None of the prior art discloses a method for preventing passage of embolic material from an atrial appendage of a patient comprising the step of positioning a device having an impervious barrier into the ostium of the left atrial appendage and enlarging the device such that the impervious barrier extends across the laft atrial appendage in order to prevent passage of embolic material from the atrial appendage.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Blatt whose telephone number is 571-272-9735.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jackson can be reached on 571-272-4697. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,553 Page 5

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/E. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3734

/Gary Jackson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3734 February 28, 2011